



Evaluation of Rollout of ABI in Health and Social Care Teams following Multidisciplinary Training

**Carried out for East Renfrewshire
Community Health and Care Partnership.**

Niamh Fitzgerald, BScPharm, PhD

Create Consultancy/Robert Gordon University



East Renfrewshire Community Health & Care Partnership



Scotland



East Renfrewshire



Background

- The national Scottish Government set a target (HEAT 4) to roll out alcohol brief interventions (ABIs) by
 - Doctors & nurses in 1y care, A&E and antenatal settings.
- A local decision was made in some areas to also train CHCP staff.
- Bespoke 1 day training course developed and rolled out by Create.
- Monitoring system for numbers trained and ABI delivery.

Rationale for BI Delivery in Diverse Settings



- Scottish population (16+) is 4.3million (mid 2010)
- In 2008/2009, 50% of men and 39% of women exceeded either the daily or the weekly guidelines on alcohol consumption, or both.
- >1,000,000 males and >800,000 females may benefit from a brief intervention, to enable them to make an informed choice about their drinking.
- There is good evidence that BIs have an impact for up to a year. How often would it make sense to repeat? Annually?
- Current national target aims for approximately 60,000 per year.
- Need much higher levels of delivery – who will meet this need?

Training in East Ren CHCP



- By October 2010, 9 courses had been delivered.
- 89 practitioners attended the training from teams including:
 - Community mental health team
 - Comm older people's team
 - Health improvement
 - Primary care
 - Social work (~12 different teams)
 - Drug and alcohol services
 - Various other staff.



The Training

- **Aim: To build on practitioners' existing skills in competently, confidently and appropriately, raising and responding to alcohol issues with their clients/patients, including delivering brief interventions.**
- 1 day (6 hours approx): attitudes, drinking limits and units, 'ways in', elements of brief a brief intervention; challenges and opportunities in delivering BIs; ways to assess need/risk; BI delivery practice session.



The Evaluation

- Low level of recorded BIs;
Unconfirmed anecdotal reports.
- Follow-up planned (Oct 2010):
Telephone interviews with:
 - 9 trained practitioners
 - 6 managers of trained practitioners
 - 4 administrators
- Interviews with 11% of those trained.

What was the impact of the training?



- Increased knowledge for most.
- Increased confidence in discussing alcohol (for some).
- Increased confidence in delivering BIs (for some).
- Very variable impact on practice, but none were recording BI delivery and most did not feel they were delivering BIs.



Impact of Training

- *“The main thing that I learned was about the percentage alcohol, about the content and the units because I wasn’t always very clear on that.”*
- *“I think at the time I felt more confident in delivering BIs. Certainly I felt more confident in asking the questions about alcohol.”*

Why were most practitioners not delivering BIs?



- They reported that their clients weren't right for BIs because:
 - They were drinking too much and were inappropriate/already receiving support.
 - They were not drinking enough to need one.
 - They were unable to engage in lucid discussion.
 - A one-off intervention was felt inappropriate.



Perceived lack of need

- *“Nobody on our whole team has delivered it – the consensus of the team as a whole is that it is not particularly applicable to our clients.”*
- *“I don’t feel I’ve come across anybody who is drinking more than 6 or 8 units per day.”*
- *“We know what to do if we go into (see) a client and they have got alcohol issues, then we will speak to someone about it.”*



Curiously...

- Though not delivering BIs, practitioners were discussing alcohol with clients.
 - Providing info about units
 - Discussing impact on mood
- Managers felt that their client groups probably would benefit from BIs.
- Questions about alcohol are already in standard assessments being used by practitioners.



Other reasons

- *'Perhaps it would be easier for X staff to deliver it, not us.'*
- *'Its hard to ask people about this.'*
- Staff (at least 3) were off sick long term after the course (Manager)
- Staff are already overwhelmed with paperwork/other initiatives (Manager)

Case study: Family social worker (early intervention)



- *“In the middle of everything else I’m doing somebody might say ‘Oh I slept in last week because I had a wee drink’...I was hoping to take an opportunity like that and be able to intervene appropriately...without saying ‘do you think you’ve got an alcohol problem?’”*
- Recording was a total no-no even for this enthusiast.

What does this tell us about BI Delivery in diverse settings?



- Do we need wider delivery?
 - Statistics would suggest yes.
- Is it an appropriate role?
 - Legitimate; Acceptable; Feasible?
...yes, probably – they are already discussing alcohol.
...but challenges in changing practice.
- Is it effective? Will BIs work when delivered by these staff, in these settings?
 - Why/why not?
 - Are there any ancillary benefits/risks?
...we don't know - little/no evidence either way.

To test effectiveness, need to know how to get staff to deliver ABIs.



- Need setting specific approaches that:
 - Better understand the setting and specific evidence of need in that setting.
 - Adapt BI and screening to fit in with setting (unless evidence suggest otherwise)
 - Build strategic support and infrastructure prior to training.
 - Deliver training as part of a comprehensive package of support and follow-up.

Thank You



- The managers, practitioners and administrative staff who took part in interviews.
- Fiona MacDonald & Heather Molloy at ER CHCP.
- The Create team – Susie, Julie, Steven.

Questions/Queries?

niamh@createconsultancy.com;

Tel: +44 141 44 55 856

www.createconsultancy.com