

**Meeting of Coordinating Committee
16th June**

**17 CEST (Europe) / 16 BST (UK) / 11 EDT (NY) / 10 CDT (Mexico) / 11 GMT (Chile) /
20:30 IST (India) / 8:00 PDT (WA, USA)**

Teleconference**NOTES**

Participants: Sven Andreasson, Lidia Segura, Ariadna Sánchez, Gallus Bischof, Pablo Norambuena, Jeremy Bray, Abhijit Nadkarni, Jennifer McNeely, Malu Formigoni, Amy O'Donnell, Joan Colom, Dorothy Newbury-Birch, Antoni Gual and Marcela Tiburcio.

Apologies: Dag Rekve, Katharine Bradley, Erica Hilario, Zarnie Khadjesari and Erica Hilario.

1. Opening

SA welcomes everyone.

2. Matters arising from previous meeting

On the MCD-NCD manual, with a section on alcohol specific interventions and lifestyle interventions, AG reports that the meeting ran smoothly well and it was an excellent experience. He already circulated the slides and he comments that the quality was good. It was ~~and~~ interesting being with people from different fields interested in brief interventions. From a PHC perspective, there are so much overlapping in brief interventions and it is clear that when facing PHC professionals we should create synergies. TG can send the structure of the manual. DN adds that Peter Anderson seems keen for Inebria to consider including other things apart from what Inebria has already been doing. They comment that they had to sign on what chapter they wanted to be involved to start drafting it and then all work will be discussed within the whole group.

SA highlights the importance of this tendency-tension between a single focus on alcohol and a broader more general lifestyle approach and the need for INEBRIA to choose a workable strategy. Important to learn from illustrates the similarities in approaching other fields, -and- dealing with other behaviours and health problems from a broader approach.

3. Approval of new members

LS informs the list was circulated and comments everyone seems OK to accept. AN confirms all institutions from the Indian colleagues are trustful.

JB raises the question of not endorsing the Inebria's statement on the alcohol industry and SA informs that it is not required to endorse it to become a member.

4. 2020 EVENT

The session will be held on 24th September and on the time, SA suggests doing it around the same CC meetings time. All agree. AO suggests recording the session and offer it after the meeting. She will explore how to do it. TG suggests placing the video in the Inebria website. In addition, no fees will be required and from the Secretariat it will be prepared a document on the registration for the meeting. The AGM will have the same agenda as previous years and a proposed one will be sent soon. LS suggests announcing it as soon as possible.

a. Scientific sessions

The AGM will be combined with a scientific session. The idea will be arrange 3 presentations that last around 15 to 30 minutes, one focused on discussing alcohol and covid infections and it was suggested Carolina Barbosa as speaker. Another on digital interventions and Lisa Marsch and a SA phd student were suggested as spekakaers and a third focused on telehealth and how we conduct brief interventions over internet or telephone. TG informs that within the DEEP SEAS project they are conducting a quick survey which is having quite a lot of success, translated to 21 languages and running in almost all European countries plus Russia, and it will be able to provide information on evolution of alcohol consumption through the covid crisis for those countries. They are closing the survey by the end of the month and it could be also interesting to present the results. Caroline Killian is leading this and she could present it and complement the US overview with a European one.

MT comments that on the on-line survey subject that there are some studies running in Latin America and it could be presented also and provide broader perspective. MT suggests inviting Maristela. SA comments it could be done a combined session from different regions of the world (US, European+Russia and Latin America).

SA suggests nominating a subcommittee to organize the program. TG, DT and JM volunteer. TG comments that they can draft a preliminary program and circulated next week. They will copy the secretariat.

b. Technical support

On the different platform option, TG suggests Inebria subscribing to the more professional option in Zoom since it is the one used in the WHO session and gives more possibilities. LS will contact Fleur to get more information on the different options.

AO has also access to the larger option and offers it. She suggests testing it the day before.

5. 2021 and 2021 plans

2021 plan will be Goa, on September 22-24th.

For 2022, Edinburgh option is still the plan. Asha Holloway is leading it.

For 2023, SA informs they remain interested in organizing it in California, in the Bay area.

6. SIG developments

On the core-outcomes set, JB informs that they had some meetings and things are beginning to progress. They are really close to have a final paper draft and it should come out soon. The implementation will be a big issue since all meetings and trainings will be virtual.

On the pregnancy, LS comments that everything stopped since Lela McNight from CDC, who is leading the group, is now focused on Covid-19, and the same for Lana Popova. They will restart the work as soon as possible.

On the e-Sig, TG informs that the paper is already submitted, they got the review and now the group is meeting to finalize it but it is almost accepted. They will restart the work for Goa.

7. Election committee and nominations system

SA informs that AO and JB have been working in revising the statutes. AO informs they tried to sort examples of comparable statutes around elections committees and got some ideas on what the content should be. JB look at the existing INEBRIA statutes and tried to adapt the text, the language and style already used. AO reports they updated the statutes to be broader and cover all election processes. They added the possibility to be conducted electronically through a simple e-mail or a more sophisticated system. She comments that the nomination needs to be proposed but at some point it is needed an announcement and agree in how to proceed: who should do this, when, how the election committee announce the period for nominations, etc. They suggest the nominations should be sent two months before the elections during the AGM. The election committee will review all nominations considering the gender and geography balance.

On who should be in the election committee, AO comments that traditionally, members in the nomination committee have been previously in the CC but they suggest that these members could be any member of Inebria with interest. The only exclusion criteria will be to be in the CC or hold the presidency. DN suggests having a CC member involved in the election, not being the chair of it but can improve communication between the bodies.

If the results are equal, DN suggests write differently for the presidency election and the CC members. TG suggests taking both candidates in case of a tie for the CC members.

SA suggests circulating the document and receive comments in the coming two weeks. All agree. JC points out to use this opportunity to include in the statute the permanent seats for the Secretariat in the CC. He will review the statute and the articles referring to the Secretariat and send a proposal in this sense. SA agrees. On electing an election committee for this year, since the time is limited, SA suggests doing it themselves. AO, JB and Dot volunteer for a subcommittee in charge of it.

8. Buddy system

LS informs that Secretariat is preparing a strategy in how to communicate in the website and then communicate again on this option. Almost all volunteers are

willing to continue (7 of 8 people). The idea will be to reactive the communication on this matter.

9. ICARA

LS and MT volunteer to represent Inebria at the Icara meeting. GB was there also representing another institution. They inform that its aim was put together association and networks in the area of addictions to discuss what were everyone's plans regarding this year and the effects of covid pandemic. The idea will be to organize a series of webinars starting in autumn or early winter. Notes will be circulated and the idea is to continue working together on this.

10. Secretariat matters

On the need to have the notes signed by CC members, especially on sensible topics, LS suggests following it by e-mail since there are some things they want to review first. SA suggests cover this in the following CC meeting.

11.AOB

None.