



Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA

26 Nov - 08 Dec 2024

Poll results



Table of contents

- Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA



1. Personal information: Name and last name

- Richard Velleman
- Divane de Vargas
- Claudia GANDIN
- Stacy Sterling
- Katarina Ulfsdotter Gunnarsson
- Diogo Cardoso
- Francisco Camarellas
- Maristela Monteiro
- SILVIA MÁRQUEZ SILGO
- Ana Vitoria Correa Lima
- Anne H Berman
- Bjarne Elholm
- Heather Raley
- Marianne HOCHET
- Stéphanie Blanc Perler
- Dorothy Newbury-Birch



Personal information: Country

- England
- Brazil
- Italy
- UK
- United States
- Sweden
- Portugal
- Spain
- Brazil/USA
- Catalonia
- SPAIN
- Brazil
- Sweden
- Denmark
- USA
- Sweden
- France
- Switzerland
- UK
- Switzerland



Evaluation survey - 20° Conference of INEBRIA (3/29)

020

2. Indicate category that fits best to your work sector

(1/2)

Politician/Decision maker

0 %

Policy Adviser

5 %

Public Health

20 %

NGO

10 %

Health professional

35 %



Evaluation survey - 20° Conference of INEBRIA (3/29)

020

2. Indicate category that fits best to your work sector

(2/2)

Other





Evaluation survey - 20° Conference of INEBRIA (4/29)

007

If your previous answer was "Other," please specify:

- Research and education
- Research
- International consultant
- Scientist
- Researcher
- academic
- Researcher



Evaluation survey - 20° Conference of INEBRIA (5/29)

020

3. Please tick the two most important logistical factors that influenced your decision to attend this conference:

Location



Conference dates



Networking opportunities



Other





Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (6/29)

004

If your previous answer was "Other," please specify:

- Because I was invited.
- Interested in the subject of brief intervention and alcohol
- The Scholarship
- 20th anniversary



4. Overall impression of the conference?

Excellent



Good



Fair



Poor



Very Poor






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (8/29)

020

5. The potential of the conference to produce positively impact. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 4.7






Evaluation survey - 20° Conference of INEBRIA (9/29)

020

a. Exchange with new and old colleagues. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 5.0






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (10/29)

020

b. Increased personal competence. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 4.3






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (11/29)

020

c. Valuable for my daily work activities. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 4.4






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (12/29)

0 2 0

d. Contributes to improving regional policy. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (13/29)

0 2 0

6. Pre-Conference organisation. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 4.3






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (14/29)

020

a. I received sufficient information on the conference in advance. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 4.4






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (15/29)

0 2 0

b. Information via email was effective. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 4.6






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (16/29)

020

c. Information provided on the website was useful. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (17/29)

020

7. Conference content and sessions. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 4.7






Evaluation survey - 20° Conference of INEBRIA (18/29)

020

a. The issues raised at the conference were important and useful to explore. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 4.7






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (19/29)

020

b. The duration of each session was appropriate. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (20/29)

020

**c. The individual presenters had adequate time to present their material.
Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree
to 5=strongly agree.**

Score: 4.6






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (21/29)

020

d. The subjects covered at this conference were relevant to my work. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 4.8






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (22/29)

020

e. The conference provided adequate networking and collaborative opportunities. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 4.9






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (23/29)

020

f. The length of the conference was adequate. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (24/29)

020

g. The number and length of breaks were adequate. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 4.5






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (25/29)

020

h. The social programme was attractive. Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree to 5=strongly agree.

Score: 4.7






Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (26/29)

020

**i. The parallel sessions helped me to increase my knowledge and skills.
Please rate the following statements on a 5 point scale: 1=strongly disagree
to 5=strongly agree.**

Score: 4.6





8. Which sessions did you like most?

(1/3)

- PLENARY SESSION. EXPANDING THE REACH OF ALCOHOL BRIEF INTERVENTION AROUND THE WORLD Abhijit Nadkarni, David Kalema, Kenneth Kalani, Orla Fagan, Divane de Vargas and Svetlana Shport - Chair: Jeremy Bray
- International panel
- Celebrating 20 years of INEBRIA and plenary session of the first day
- All
- Youth SBIRT in Mexico
- .
- Parallel sessions on SBI, presentation on WHO Hub
- Workshop 3. SBIRT-Plus and the SAFER way to population health. Tom Babor, USA
- Plenaries; AI session;
- Effects, motivation, and machine learning in digital alcohol interventions. Chair: Anne H Berman, Sweden / Topic – Digitalization
- SBI FOR MULTIPLE LIFESTYLE RISK FACTORS IN



8. Which sessions did you like most?

(2/3)

PRIMARY AND COMMUNITY SETTINGS FOR LOW-SES AND DISPLACED POPULATIONS A BRIEF INTERVENTION PROGRAM FOR ADOLESCENTS IN MEXICO: 20 YEARS OF COLLABORATION TAILORING THE IMPLEMENTATION OF SCREENING AND BRIEF INTERVENTIONS IN PRIMARY HEALTH CARE SETTINGS: NEW STRATEGIES AND NEW APPROACHES FOR BRIEF INTERVENTIONS

- I really liked

the Plenaries Sections.

- Digitalization
- Symposium 3 in room 6 day 1 populationbased alcoholscreening
- I thought many of the research sessions were interesting, but the Planery on Friday - Expanding the Reach of SBI around the World- was unique and insightful. It allowed us to hear



8. Which sessions did you like most?

(3/3)

first-hand the strenghts and struggles of various countries and improve connection to be able to identify how our resources and specialty may be able to help others where they need it most.

- Digitalization, workshops
- Poster sessions were very nice to exchange and learn in a short time about others work This format of presenting orally the poster was well appreciated
- Digitalization
- I thought the plenary's were

excellent and particularly enjoyed Joan;s

- Plenary with all presidents. Parallel sessions in digitalization and new models/settings



9. Any additional comments related to this conference?

(1/3)

- Excellent organisation, excellent venue.
- Strong emotions.....other than evidence based studies.....Thanks for sharing
- Thank you!
- I like the poster-presentation, the quick pitch and then questions.
- excelent
- No
- .
- Thank you to the organizers. I thought this

was a wonderful way to network - as the attendance is on the smaller side and it allows you to get to know more people. However, I do wonder if additional advertising and ways to grow the attendance would be more beneficial. I loved the dinner and happy hour - having set times where we could socialize and get to know each other



9. Any additional comments related to this conference?

(2/3)

outside of the sessions. I do think the location of the conference was not ideal. It was located outside of the heart of the city and transportation was tricky. I think having the sessions at a more central location within a city would allow more time to explore while easily being able to attend the sessions would have been more ideal.

- The number of parallel sessions were too many, so there were too

few people in each session.

Speakers should be present and not allowed to present via video-link.

Symposium allow for weak research to be presented as they are not scrutinized the same way that abstracts are.

- I'd just like to mention that it would have been great to have longer breaks to have more time to connect



Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (28/29)

0 1 2

9. Any additional comments related to this conference?

(3/3)

with other people, as well as tables to eat at during lunch and the gala dinner. Otherwise, thank you very much!

- I believe it was the best conference yet.
- The breaks and the social dinner were too short 😊, not providing sufficient time to catch up with colleagues and getting to know new ones. The concert was amazing! Thank you!



10. Any comments and/or proposals for future conferences?

(1/2)

- Venue too far away...I won't be able to attend... but I suggest to concentrate a session on alcohol and mental health
- more implication of family doctors and nurses (World Organization of Family Doctors WONCA)
- No
- .
- It is amazing that INEBRIA offers scholarships. I would never be able to attend without it.
- I will continue to participate in the eSIG and contribute there!
- I like that you alternate between North America and Europe, and that you choose larger cities with transportation - this makes a huge difference.
- Fewer parallel sessions. Stricter rules for symposium, or remove entirely. Some of the posters were a lot stronger than



Evaluation survey - 20^o Conference of INEBRIA (29/29)

0 1 0

10. Any comments and/or proposals for future conferences?

(2/2)

presented research, so I suggest the scientific committee be more involved in the development of the scientific program.

- As I had the opportunity to tell to Joan, we would like very much to organise one of the next conference in France, in Paris. Of course, I am available to discuss about it whenever needed.
- Maybe put the conference over 3 days instead of 2. The content is

almost overwhelming... This would also provide more opportunities for informal contact and networking.